

TOPICS

Negligence in Medical Care	* Vicarious liability
Remedy Against Negligence	* Manufacturer's and Chemist's liability
Medical Negligence under Criminal Law	* Frivolous and vexatious complain
Consent for Treatment	* Defense against claims of negligence
Professional misconduct	* Indemnity Insurance for doctors
Organ donation in India	* Violence against doctors : an overview
Medical Records	* Legislative measures to protect doctors
Diagnostic Center's liability	* Bioethics in Medical Research
Ayurvedic and Homeopathic doctors practicing allopathic medicine	* Emergency care
Steps to avoid Litigation	* Patient's right of privacy
Res ipsa loquitur: sponge/hemostat left inside abdomen	* Expert opinion

REGISTRATION DETAILS

	Till 15 th July 08	After 15 th July 08 & Spot
Hospital Administrator	5000	7000
Delegate	2500	3500
PG/Resident	2000	2500
Nurses	1500	2000

te: **Hospital Administrator:-** Medical Superintendent, CEO/Director, Owner of any health care facility.

PG/Resident: The candidate has to produce certificate from the Head of the Department.

te: "Registration Fee is acceptable in the form of Demand Draft (DD) drawn in favour of 'LIMP' payable at Delhi."



National Conference on LITIGATION & VIOLENCE IN MEDICAL PRACTICE

30th & 31st August, 2008

Venue

JLN Auditorium
All India Institute of Medical Sciences
New Delhi, INDIA



Contact Details
Dr. L.R. Murmu
Convenor

Faculty Room, Adjoining Academic Section
All India Institute of Medical Sciences, New Delhi, INDIA

011-26588500, 26588700, Ext: 4936

Mobile: 9999992231, 9868397051, 9891051304

Fax: 91-11-26588663, 26588641

E-mail: Irmurmu@hotmail.com

Litigation is like a complication in clinical practice, it could happen with anyone"



Dr.L.R.Murmu
Convenor

M.B.B.S, M.S (AIIMS) L.L.B, L.L.M (University of Delhi)
Additional Professor Surgery, Casualty & Emergency Services,
Consultant Department of Surgical Disciplines,
All-India Institute of Medical Sciences.

Dear friends,

The AIIMS has always taken a lead in all fronts of medical sciences, be it education, research and patient care. The conferences organized in this Institute is a way to share the innovative and novel ideas in medicine with the medical fraternity within and outside India, and promote them for ever wider use to mankind.

Recently, a disturbing trend of rising litigation & violence against health professionals is noted all over India. This is perhaps inevitable in the emerging social milieu of commercialization of health coupled with growing public awareness, and sometimes desperation. Further more legislation and judicial interventions have provided impetus to movement for enforcement of consumer rights.

The patients have started asserting for quality care. And whenever the treatment and outcome fall short of their perception or expectation, allegation of negligence and deficiency is filed against the provider. There is an urgent need for the Health professionals to realize and confront the emerging challenges of litigation & violence, keeping in view the best interest of the patients and the society at large

This Conference is an effort to enlighten the health care professionals about the ways and means to reduce the risk of litigation and violence. I, on behalf of the organizing committee, looking forward for your active participation.

NEGLIGENCE CASE LAW EXAMPLES

ANESTHESIA : Paralysis of lower limbs after hernia operation under spinal anesthesia. Surgeon and anesthetist not held negligent, as there was no expert evidence to establish that paraplegia was the result of anesthesia or operation.

CARDIOLOGY : Complain of infection and screw driver left behind after implantation of pacemaker. Expert evidence established no negligence because implantation infection is well know risk and the screw driver allegedly recovered from the body was not produced.

SURGERY : Patient was diagnosed as having intestinal obstruction. During operation he died of myocardial infraction.

Negligence could not be attributed to the doctor.

OPHTHALMOLOGIST : The patient was operated for left eye glaucoma but it did not revive her eyesight. Complainant failed to adduce evidence to prove negligence. The refund of expense by the doctor does not establish deficiency in his service.

PAEDIATRICIAN : A two month old baby admitted for treatment of diarrhoea. The baby expired. The National Commission dismissed the allegation of negligence on the ground that there is no evidence to establish the connection between death and treatment given.

MEDICAL EVIDENCE : In case the evidence of the witnesses for the prosecution is totally inconsistent with the medical evidence, unless reasonably explained, it is sufficient to discredit the entire case.

COMPLAINANT : Claim brought by the brother of deceased consumer. He can't be treated as legal heir overriding the widow and son who are not coming to file the complaint.

OBSTETRICS : D & C procedure done for MTP, and patient died due to meningitis with cerebral vein thrombosis and brain edema. Expert evidence suggested MTP not the cause of meningitis. No Negligence.

NEGLIGENCE HELD

ANESTHESIA: Hernia operation under general anesthesia. Anesthetist left theatre soon after extubation, patient died. Both the surgeon and anesthetist held equally liable for negligence.

ANESTHESIA: Following administration of anesthesia at 9 a.m, patient died at 9:40 am due to cardiac arrest. No proper monitoring, and why cardiac arrest occurred not explained. Negligence held.

UNQUALIFIED DOCTOR : Eye surgeon not trained in anesthesia but acted as an anesthetist. The patient died during caesarian section. Eye-surgeon, surgeon and hospital held negligent.

OPHTHALMOLOGIST: Patient lost eyesight following cataract surgery due to negligence of the doctor in conducting the operation.

EXPERT OPINION : The courts are not bound by the expert opinion with regards to the conduct of the doctors, i.e whether he/she was negligent or not.

CHEMIST : Sale of medicine other than prescribed. The chemist is liable to pay damages even if medicine was not consumed and no injury caused.

SURGERY : The patient was diagnosed as a case of acute

appendicitis but not operated for two days. He died due to perforated appendix. Surgeon found negligent.

LAPAROSCOPY : Death during laparoscopic tubectomy in Government hospital, the State was held vicariously liable.

CTVS : Failure to prompt treatment of postoperative sternal infection following coronary artery bypass surgery is deficiency.

ORTHOPEDICIAN : Leg amputation done following gangrene that developed due to improper treatment.